

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alcassedan, Virginia 22313-1450 www.emplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/774,351	02/06/2004	Douglas F. Reynolds	1033-LB1011	5256	
60533 TOLER LAW	7590 05/16/200 GROUP	EXA		INER	
8500 BLUFFS	STONE COVE		GAUTHIER, GERALD		
SUITE A201 AUSTIN, TX	78759		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,			2614		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			05/16/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## **Advisory Action** Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	10/774,351	REYNOLDS ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Gerald Gauthier	2614	

	Gerald Gauthier	2614					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 04 April 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LOWANCE.					
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFA 1;1;1;1;1;1;1;1;1;1;1;1;1;1;1;1;1;1;1;							
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailling date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or ( MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07()	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	date of the final rejection	n.				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.138(a). The date have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period city under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the se set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL.	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1: ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origi than three months after the mailing dat	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as				
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp.	lianna with 27 CER 44 27 must be 4	Eladithin two manths	of the date of				
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the					
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>							
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, t         <ul> <li>(a) They raise new issues that would require further cor</li> <li>(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belowed)</li> </ul> </li> </ol>	nsideration and/or search (see NOT w);	E below);					
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or			ne issues for				
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c		ected claims.					
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1)							
<ol> <li>The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12</li> </ol>		mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).				
<ol> <li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):</li> </ol>							
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).		•					
7. Mer for purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) I how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		l be entered and an e	planation of				
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-53</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>							
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fail:	to provide a				
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER</li> </ol>	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.				
<ol> <li>The request for reconsideration has been considered but</li> </ol>	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:				
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) 13. ☐ Other:							
	/Gerald Gauthier/ Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 2614					

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The independents claims have been amended and required further consideration.